

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/452,658	12/01/1999	IKKO FUSHIKI	M61.12-0179	1604	
75	7590 01/16/2004			EXAMINER	
WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY P A SUITE 1600 INTERNATIONAL CENTRE 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 554023319			HAVAN, THU THAO		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2672	23	
			DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/452,658	FUSHIKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thu-Thao Havan	2672			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	October 2003.				
	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 31-38 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1, 3-9, 21-22, and 24-26 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2,10-20,23 and 27-30 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 23			

Art Unit: 2672

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

In view of the Appeal Brief filed on October 27, 2003, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A non-final office action is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Claim Objections

Claim **2, 10-20, 23, and 27-30** are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Examiner searching for the step of converting the transformed path from a function that describes an entire curve to a function of the form (please see formula on page 34, line 19) that describes a segment of the curve by setting each (please see formula on page 34, line 20) where c is a fixed fraction and the step of a bilinear transform, in combination with

Art Unit: 2672

the other elements of the claim, was not disclosed by, would not have been obvious over, nor would have been fairly suggested by the prior art of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-9, 21-22, and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sayre (US patent no. 5,175,808) in view of Browne (US patent no. 6,542,157).

Re claims 1 and 21, Sayre had:

- A.) Performing a non-affine transform on the path instead of the multiple pixels represented by the path to produce a transformed path (<u>col. 11, line 48 to col. 12, line 2</u>); in other words, Sayre teaches performing a non-affine image transformation on a source image comprising a plurality of points (i.e. pixels) having at least X and Y values. The X and Y values made up a path consisting of values for calculations;
- B.) Rendering the transformed path onto the computer screen (col. 1, lines 10-24); in other words, Sayre teaches the system is disclosed in a computer graphics applications. Thus, he is rendering the transformed path onto the computer screen.

Sayre *fails* to specifically disclose describing at least a portion of a base image as a path, the path representing multiple pixels as claimed. However, Browne (col. 10.

Art Unit: 2672

line 42 to col. 11, line 36; figs. 3a-3c, 14a-14b, and 15-18) indicates that it's well known to have at least a portion of a base image as a path with the path representing multiple pixels. In that he discloses for quadrilateral mesh elements, the unit square tile curves are mapped using a standard non-affine bilinear warp. The source coordinates are the vertices of the tile's unit square, and the destination coordinates are the mesh element vertices. The associated orientation value is used to align source and destination coordinates correctly. Therefore, taking the combined teaching of Sayre and Browne as a whole, it would have been obvious to modify Sayre to describe at least a portion of a base image as a path, the path representing multiple pixels as claimed. Doing so would enable pixels within the base image are described using a set of equations known as a path (Browne: col. 10, line 42 to col. 11, line 36; figs. 3a-3c, 4, 14a-14b, and 15-18).

Re claims **3-4**, **8**, **and 24**, Sayre discloses the portion of the base image as a path comprises describing the portion using a function of order n and 2n (<u>col. 1</u>, <u>lines 46-62</u>). In other words, Sayre teaches the nth order which comprises of any number as in n or 2n. Warping functions can be wholly arbitrary functions.

Re claims **5-7 and 25**, Sayre discloses the portion as a function of order one and three; and a non-affine transform comprises performing a perspective transform (<u>col. 1</u>, <u>lines 46-62</u>). In other words, Sayre teaches the nth order which comprises of any number as in n or 3. Warping functions can be wholly arbitrary functions. As for non-affine transform, Sayre teaches non-affine image transformation.

Re claims **9 and 26**, Sayre discloses the step of approximating the transformed path as a series of lines and rendering each line in the series of lines (col. 12, lines 45-

Art Unit: 2672

66; figs. 2 and 5a-5d). In other words, Sayre teaches the X and Y tables define the lines.

Re claim **22**, Sayre discloses a smooth curve (<u>col. 6</u>, <u>line 34 to col. 7</u>, <u>line 36</u>). In other words, Sayre teaches a spline surface which corresponds to a smooth curve in computer graphics.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 31-38 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The present invention relates in general to the transforming and rendering of graphical curves. The closest prior art, Sayre (US 5,175,808) teaches a similar system, which also deals with non-affine image warping. However, Sayre fails to teach the step of converting a function of the form (please see formula on page 43, line 4) that describes a segment of the curves into a function of the form (please see formula on page 43, line 6) that describes a different sized segment of the curve by setting each (please see formula on page 43, line 9) where c is a fixed value that determines the segment size. Additionally, the prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the step of converting a function of the form (please see formula on page 43, line 2) that describes a segment of the curve into a function of the form (please see formula on page 44, line 1) that describes an adjacent segment of the curve by setting each (please see formula on page 44, line 4).

Art Unit: 2672

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu-Thao Havan whose telephone number is (703) 308-7062. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (703) 305-4713.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Thu-Thao Havan Art Unit 2672 December 30, 2003

> MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600